

Supplemental Materials for:  
 “The Likelihood Ratio Test in High-Dimensional Logistic  
 Regression Is Asymptotically a *Rescaled* Chi-Square”

Pragya Sur\*      Yuxin Chen†      Emmanuel J. Candès\*‡

June 2017

**Abstract**

This document presents the proof of Lemma 6(ii) given in the paper [1]: “The Likelihood Ratio Test in High-Dimensional Logistic Regression Is Asymptotically a *Rescaled* Chi-Square”.

## 1 Proof of Lemma 6(ii)

We shall prove that  $\mathcal{V}(\tau^2) < \tau^2$  whenever  $\tau^2$  is sufficiently large. Before proceeding, we recall from the main text and [2, Proposition 6.4] that

$$\mathcal{V}(\tau^2) := \frac{1}{\kappa} \mathbb{E} [\Psi^2(\tau Z; b(\tau))] = \frac{1}{\kappa} \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( b(\tau) \rho' \left( \text{prox}_{b(\tau)\rho}(\tau Z) \right) \right)^2 \right], \quad (1)$$

where  $b(\tau)$  obeys

$$\kappa = \mathbb{E} [\Psi'(\tau Z; b(\tau))] = 1 - \mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{1}{1 + b(\tau) \rho'' \left( \text{prox}_{b(\tau)\rho}(\tau Z) \right)} \right]. \quad (2)$$

In what follows, we study the logistic and probit models separately.

### 1.1 The logistic case

Consider the bivariate functions

$$\begin{aligned} h(b, \tau) &:= \mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{1}{1 + b \rho'' \left( \text{prox}_{b\rho}(\tau Z) \right)} \right], \\ w(b, \tau) &= \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \rho' \left( \text{prox}_{b\rho}(\tau Z) \right) \right)^2 \right], \end{aligned}$$

which plays a central role in (1) and (2). In the sequel, we will first analyze these two functions for any  $b$  obeying

$$b = c_0 \tau \quad (3)$$

for some constant  $c_0 > 0$ . The result is this:

---

\*Department of Statistics, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305, U.S.A.

†Department of Electrical Engineering, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544, U.S.A.

‡Department of Mathematics, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305, U.S.A.

**Lemma 1.** For any constant  $c_0 > 0$ , one has

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} h(c_0\tau, \tau) = \mathbb{P}\{Z < 0 \text{ or } Z > c_0\}; \quad (4)$$

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} w(c_0\tau, \tau) = \mathbb{P}\{Z > c_0\} + \frac{1}{c_0^2} \mathbb{E}[Z^2 \mathbf{1}_{\{0 < Z < c_0\}}].$$

Recall that  $0 < \kappa < 1/2$ . One can easily find two constants  $c_0 > \tilde{c}_0 > 0$  such that

$$\mathbb{P}\{Z < 0 \text{ or } Z > c_0\} < 1 - \kappa < \mathbb{P}\{Z < 0 \text{ or } Z > \tilde{c}_0\}.$$

In view of Lemma 1, for any sufficiently large  $\tau > 0$  one has

$$h(c_0\tau, \tau) < 1 - \kappa = h(b(\tau), \tau) < h(\tilde{c}_0\tau, \tau).$$

According to [1, Lemma 5],  $h(b, \tau)$  is a monotonic function in  $b$  for any given  $\tau > 0$ , thus indicating that

$$b(\tau) \in [\tilde{c}_0\tau, c_0\tau];$$

that said,  $b(\tau)$  scales linearly in  $\tau$  as  $\tau \rightarrow \infty$ . Furthermore, since  $b(\tau)$  is the solution to  $h(b_\tau, \tau) = 1 - \kappa$ , one has

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}\left\{Z < 0 \text{ or } Z > \frac{b(\tau)}{\tau}\right\} = 1 - \kappa,$$

which leads to the closed-form expression

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \frac{b(\tau)}{\tau} = \Phi^{-1}(\kappa + 0.5). \quad (5)$$

We are now ready to characterize the variance map. Note that when  $\tau$  is sufficiently large,

$$\frac{\mathcal{V}(\tau^2)}{\tau^2} = \frac{b^2(\tau)}{\tau^2} \cdot \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\rho'(\text{prox}_{b(\tau)\rho}(\tau Z))\right)^2\right]}{1 - \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{1 + b(\tau)\rho''(\text{prox}_{b(\tau)\rho}(\tau Z))}\right]} \quad (6)$$

$$= (1 + o(1)) \frac{b^2(\tau)}{\tau^2} \frac{\left\{\mathbb{P}\left\{Z > \frac{b(\tau)}{\tau}\right\} + \frac{\tau^2}{b^2(\tau)} \mathbb{E}\left[Z^2 \mathbf{1}_{\{0 < Z < \frac{b(\tau)}{\tau}\}}\right]\right\}}{\mathbb{P}\left\{0 < Z < \frac{b(\tau)}{\tau}\right\}} \quad (7)$$

$$= (1 + o(1)) \frac{x^2 \mathbb{P}\{Z > x\} + \mathbb{E}[Z^2 \mathbf{1}_{\{0 < Z < x\}}]}{\mathbb{P}\{0 < Z < x\}} \Bigg|_{x = \frac{b(\tau)}{\tau}}. \quad (8)$$

This together with the expression of  $\frac{b(\tau)}{\tau}$  in (5) gives

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathcal{V}(\tau^2)}{\tau^2} = \frac{x^2 \mathbb{P}\{Z > x\} + \mathbb{E}[Z^2 \mathbf{1}_{\{0 < Z < x\}}]}{\mathbb{P}\{0 < Z < x\}} \Bigg|_{x = \Phi^{-1}(\kappa + 0.5)}. \quad (9)$$

In order to prove that  $\mathcal{V}(\tau^2) \leq \tau^2$  for large  $\tau$ , it suffices to show that the function

$$g(x) := x^2 \mathbb{P}\{Z > x\} + \mathbb{E}[Z^2 \mathbf{1}_{\{0 < Z < x\}}] - \mathbb{P}\{0 < Z < x\}$$

obeys  $g(x) < 0$  for all  $x > 0$ . To this end, some algebra gives

$$\begin{aligned} g(x) &= x^2 \int_x^\infty \phi(z) dz + \int_0^x z^2 \phi(z) dz - \int_0^x \phi(z) dz \\ &= x^2 \int_x^\infty \phi(z) dz - z\phi(z) \Big|_0^x + \int_0^x \phi(z) dz - \int_0^x \phi(z) dz \\ &= x \left( x \int_x^\infty \phi(z) dz - \phi(x) \right) < 0, \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where (10) comes from integration by parts, and the last inequality follows from  $\int_x^\infty \phi(z) dz < \frac{1}{x}\phi(x)$ . This establishes that  $\mathcal{V}(\tau^2) \leq \tau^2$  for any sufficiently large  $\tau > 0$ .

Finally, we prove Lemma 1.

**Proof of Lemma 1.** Take  $\varepsilon > 0$  to be an arbitrarily small constant. We study  $\frac{1}{1+b\rho''(\text{prox}_{b\rho}(\tau Z))}$  and  $(\rho'(\text{prox}_{b\rho}(\tau Z)))^2$  in three separate cases.

- **Case 1:**  $Z \leq -\varepsilon$ . Recall that  $\text{prox}_{b\rho}(\tau Z)$  is the solution to

$$b\frac{e^t}{1+e^t} + t = \tau Z, \quad (11)$$

which implies that

$$\text{prox}_{b\rho}(\tau Z) = \tau Z - b\frac{e^t}{1+e^t}\Big|_{t=\text{prox}_{b\rho}(\tau Z)} < \tau Z \leq -\varepsilon\tau. \quad (12)$$

When  $\tau \rightarrow \infty$ , this yields

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq b\rho''(\text{prox}_{b\rho}(\tau Z)) = b\frac{e^t}{(1+e^t)^2}\Big|_{t=\text{prox}_{b\rho}(\tau Z)} \leq be^t\Big|_{t=\text{prox}_{b\rho}(\tau Z)} \\ &\leq c_0\tau e^{-\varepsilon\tau} \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

or equivalently,

$$1 - \frac{1}{1+b\rho''(\text{prox}_{b\rho}(\tau Z))} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \tau \rightarrow \infty.$$

Similarly, one can derive

$$(\rho'(\text{prox}_{b\rho}(\tau Z)))^2 = \frac{e^{2t}}{(1+e^t)^2}\Big|_{t=\text{prox}_{b\rho}(\tau Z)} \stackrel{(a)}{\leq} e^{2\text{prox}_{b\rho}(\tau Z)} \stackrel{(a)}{\leq} e^{-2\varepsilon\tau} \rightarrow 0,$$

where (a) follows from (12).

- **Case 2:**  $Z \geq \frac{b}{\tau} + \varepsilon$ . In this case, it holds that

$$\text{prox}_{b\rho}(\tau Z) = \tau Z - b\frac{e^t}{1+e^t}\Big|_{t=\text{prox}_{b\rho}(\tau Z; b)} > \tau\left(\frac{b}{\tau} + \varepsilon\right) - b = \varepsilon\tau.$$

Applying a similar argument as in the previous case, we see that as  $\tau \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$1 - \frac{1}{1+b\rho''(\text{prox}_{b\rho}(\tau Z))} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (\rho'(\text{prox}_{b\rho}(\tau Z)))^2 \rightarrow 1.$$

- **Case 3:**  $\varepsilon < Z < \frac{b}{\tau} - \varepsilon$ . We can first rule out the possibility of  $|\text{prox}_{b\rho}(\tau Z)| \gtrsim \tau$ . In fact, if  $|\text{prox}_{b\rho}(\tau Z)| \gtrsim \tau$  and  $\text{prox}_{b\rho}(\tau Z) \geq 0$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} b\frac{e^t}{1+e^t}\Big|_{t=\text{prox}_{b\rho}(\tau Z)} + \text{prox}_{b\rho}(\tau Z) &\geq b\frac{e^t}{1+e^t}\Big|_{t=\text{prox}_{b\rho}(\tau Z)} = b - \frac{b}{1+e^{\text{prox}_{b\rho}(\tau Z)}} \\ &\stackrel{(b)}{=} b - \frac{c_0\tau}{e^{\Theta(\tau)}} \stackrel{(c)}{>} b - \varepsilon\tau > \tau Z, \end{aligned}$$

where (b) follows from the assumptions  $b_0 = c\tau$  and  $|\text{prox}_{b\rho}(\tau Z)| \gtrsim \tau$ , and (c) holds when  $\tau$  is sufficiently large. This violates the identity (11). Similarly, if  $|\text{prox}_{b\rho}(\tau Z)| \gtrsim \tau$  and  $\text{prox}_{b\rho}(\tau Z) < 0$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} b\frac{e^t}{1+e^t}\Big|_{t=\text{prox}_{b\rho}(\tau Z)} + \text{prox}_{b\rho}(\tau Z) &< b\frac{e^{\text{prox}_{b\rho}(\tau Z)}}{1+e^{\text{prox}_{b\rho}(\tau Z)}} = c_0\tau \frac{e^{-|\text{prox}_{b\rho}(\tau Z)|}}{1+e^{-|\text{prox}_{b\rho}(\tau Z)|}} \\ &\stackrel{(d)}{<} \varepsilon\tau \leq \tau Z, \end{aligned}$$

where (d) follows when  $\tau$  is sufficiently large. This inequality contradicts (11) as well. As a result, we reach  $|\text{prox}_{b\rho}(\tau Z)| = o(\tau)$  in this case, which combined with (11) gives

$$b \frac{e^t}{1+e^t} \Big|_{t=\text{prox}_{b\rho}(\tau Z)} = (1+o(1))\tau Z. \quad (13)$$

Additionally, (13) leads to

$$\frac{1}{1+e^t} \Big|_{t=\text{prox}_{b\rho}(\tau Z)} = (1+o(1)) \left(1 - \frac{\tau Z}{b}\right), \quad (14)$$

which is bounded away from 0 in this case. Taken together, (13) and (14) yield

$$\frac{1}{1+b\rho''(\text{prox}_{b\rho}(\tau Z))} = \frac{1}{1+b \frac{e^t}{(1+e^t)^2} \Big|_{t=\text{prox}_{b\rho}(\tau Z)}} = \frac{1}{1+(1+o(1))\tau Z \left(1 - \frac{\tau Z}{b}\right)} \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$(\rho'(\text{prox}_{b\rho}(\tau Z)))^2 = \left(\frac{e^t}{1+e^t}\right)^2 \Big|_{t=\text{prox}_{b\rho}(\tau Z)} = (1+o(1)) \frac{\tau^2 Z^2}{b^2}.$$

Putting the above cases together and applying dominated convergence gives

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{1}{1+b\rho''(\text{prox}_{b\rho}(\tau Z))} \right] - \mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{1}{1+b\rho''(\text{prox}_{b\rho}(\tau Z))} \mathbf{1}_{\{|Z| \leq \varepsilon \text{ or } |Z-b/\tau| \leq \varepsilon\}} \right] \right\} \\ = \lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \mathbb{E} [\mathbf{1}_{\{Z < -\varepsilon\}}] + \mathbb{E} [\mathbf{1}_{\{Z > \frac{b}{\tau} - \varepsilon\}}] \right\} = \lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left\{ Z < -\varepsilon \text{ or } Z > \frac{b}{\tau} + \varepsilon \right\} \end{aligned}$$

when  $b = c_0\tau$  for some constant  $c_0 > 0$ . Recognizing that

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{1}{1+b\rho''(\text{prox}_{b\rho}(\tau Z))} \mathbf{1}_{\{|Z| \leq \varepsilon \text{ or } |Z-b/\tau| \leq \varepsilon\}} \right] \leq \mathbb{E} [\mathbf{1}_{\{|Z| \leq \varepsilon \text{ or } |Z-b/\tau| \leq \varepsilon\}}] \leq 4\varepsilon$$

$$\text{and } \mathbb{P} \left\{ -\varepsilon \leq Z \leq 0 \text{ or } \frac{b}{\tau} \leq Z \leq \frac{b}{\tau} + \varepsilon \right\} \leq 2\varepsilon,$$

we arrive at

$$\left| \lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{1}{1+b\rho''(\text{prox}_{b\rho}(\tau Z))} \right] - \lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left\{ Z < 0 \text{ or } Z > \frac{b}{\tau} \right\} \right| \leq 6\varepsilon.$$

Since  $\varepsilon > 0$  can be arbitrarily small, we have

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{1}{1+b\rho''(\text{prox}_{b\rho}(\tau Z))} \right] = \lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left\{ Z < 0 \text{ or } Z > \frac{b}{\tau} \right\} \quad (15)$$

when  $b = c_0\tau$ . Similarly,

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left[ (\rho'(\text{prox}_{b\rho}(\tau Z)))^2 \right] = \lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \mathbb{P} \left\{ Z > \frac{b}{\tau} \right\} + \frac{\tau^2}{b^2} \mathbb{E} \left[ Z^2 \mathbf{1}_{\{0 < Z < \frac{b}{\tau}\}} \right] \right\}.$$

■

## 1.2 The probit case

The proof proceeds with the following 3 steps:

- (i) Show that for any  $b > 0$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exist constants  $c_{1,b}, c_{2,b}, c_3, c_4 > 0$ , depending on  $\epsilon$ , such that

$$\begin{cases} \sup_{z > c_{1,b}} \left| \text{prox}_{b\rho}(z) - \frac{z}{b+1} \right| \leq \epsilon, \\ \sup_{z < -c_{2,b}} \left| \text{prox}_{b\rho}(z) - z \right| \leq \epsilon, \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{cases} \sup_{z > c_3} \left| \rho''(z) - 1 \right| \leq \epsilon, \\ \sup_{z < -c_4} \left| \rho''(z) \right| \leq \epsilon. \end{cases} \quad (16)$$

In particular, one can take

$$c_{1,b} := \max \left\{ b\rho'(\sqrt{2}) + \sqrt{2}, 2\sqrt{2}b, \frac{4}{\epsilon}b \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad c_{2,b} := \max \left\{ 2b\rho'(0), \sqrt{8 \log \frac{b}{\epsilon}} \right\}. \quad (17)$$

- (ii) Show that for any constant  $\eta > 0$ , for all  $\tau$  sufficiently large, one has

$$\left| 1 - \frac{1}{b(\tau) + 1} - 2\kappa \right| \leq \eta. \quad (18)$$

- (iii) Show that for any constant  $0 < \eta < 1 - 2\kappa$  and for  $\tau$  sufficiently large, one has

$$\left| \frac{\mathcal{V}(\tau^2)}{\tau^2} - 2\kappa \right| \leq \eta. \quad (19)$$

In the sequel, we elaborate on each of these three steps.

**Step (i).** Recall that for any  $x > 0$ , one has  $\frac{\phi(x)}{x} \left(1 - \frac{1}{x^2}\right) \leq 1 - \Phi(x) \leq \frac{\phi(x)}{x}$ . Since  $\rho'(x) = \frac{\phi(x)}{1 - \Phi(x)}$ , this gives

$$|\rho'(x) - x| \leq \frac{1}{x - x^{-1}} \leq \frac{2}{x}, \quad x \geq \sqrt{2}. \quad (20)$$

We start with the first inequality in (16). From the definition of  $\text{prox}(\cdot)$ , we have the defining relation

$$b\rho'(\text{prox}_{b\rho}(z)) + \text{prox}_{b\rho}(z) = z. \quad (21)$$

Therefore, if we take  $z_{b,1} := b\rho'(\sqrt{2}) + \sqrt{2}$ , then this identity (21) indicates that  $\text{prox}_{b\rho}(z_{b,1}) = \sqrt{2}$ . Moreover,  $\text{prox}_{b\rho}(z)$  is monotonically increasing in  $z$  (see [2, Eqn. (56)]), which tells us that

$$\text{prox}_{b\rho}(z) \geq \text{prox}_{b\rho}(z_{b,1}) = \sqrt{2}, \quad \forall z > z_{b,1}. \quad (22)$$

Rearranging the identity (21) and combining it with (20) and (22), we obtain

$$z - (b+1)\text{prox}_{b\rho}(z) = b\rho'(\text{prox}_{b\rho}(z)) - b\text{prox}_{b\rho}(z)$$

$$\implies \left| \frac{z}{b+1} - \text{prox}_{b\rho}(z) \right| = \frac{b}{b+1} |\rho'(\text{prox}_{b\rho}(z)) - \text{prox}_{b\rho}(z)| \leq \frac{2b/(b+1)}{\text{prox}_{b\rho}(z)} \quad (23)$$

$$\leq \frac{\sqrt{2}b}{b+1}, \quad \forall z > z_{b,1}. \quad (24)$$

This inequality provides a lower bound on  $\text{prox}_{b\rho}(z)$ :

$$\text{prox}_{b\rho}(z) \geq \frac{z - \sqrt{2}b}{b+1} \geq \frac{z}{2(b+1)}$$

for all  $z$  obeying  $z > z_{b,1}$  and  $z > 2\sqrt{2}b$ . Substitution into (23) once again gives

$$\left| \frac{z}{b+1} - \text{prox}_{b\rho}(z) \right| \leq \frac{2b/(b+1)}{\text{prox}_{b\rho}(z)} \leq \frac{4b}{z} \leq \epsilon, \quad \forall z > \max \left\{ z_{b,1}, 2\sqrt{2}b, \frac{4b}{\epsilon} \right\},$$

establishing the first bound in (16).

We now turn to the second result in (16). Similarly, it is seen from (21) that  $\text{prox}_{b\rho}(z_{b,2}) = 0$  with  $z_{b,2} := b\rho'(0) > 0$ . The monotonicity of  $\text{prox}_{b\rho}(\cdot)$  implies that

$$\text{prox}_{b\rho}(z) \leq \text{prox}_{b\rho}(z_{b,2}) = 0, \quad \forall z < z_{b,2}.$$

Recognizing that  $\rho'(x) > 0$  and  $\rho''(x) > 0$  for any  $x$  and using the relation (21), we arrive at

$$|z - \text{prox}_{b\rho}(z)| = b\rho'(\text{prox}_{b\rho}(z)) \leq b\rho'(0), \quad \forall z < z_{b,2}, \quad (25)$$

thus indicating that

$$\text{prox}_{b\rho}(z) \leq z + b\rho'(0) \leq z/2, \quad \forall z < -2z_{b,2} < 0.$$

Substituting it into (25) and using the fact that  $\rho'(x) = \frac{\phi(x)}{1-\Phi(x)} \leq 2\phi(x) \leq e^{-x^2/2}$  for all  $x < 0$ , we get

$$|z - \text{prox}_{b\rho}(z)| = b\rho'(\text{prox}_{b\rho}(z)) \stackrel{(a)}{\leq} b\rho'(z/2) \leq be^{-z^2/8}, \quad \forall z < -2z_{b,2} < 0, \quad (26)$$

where (a) follows since  $\rho''(x) > 0$ . The upper bound (26) will not exceed  $\epsilon > 0$  as long as  $z < -\max\left\{2z_{b,2}, \sqrt{8 \log \frac{b}{\epsilon}}\right\}$ .

This establishes the second bound of (16).

The remaining two inequalities regarding  $\rho''$  are rather straightforward and the proofs are thus omitted.

**Step (ii).** Recognizing that  $\Psi'(z; b) = \frac{b\rho''(x)}{1+b\rho''(x)} \Big|_{x=\text{prox}_{b\rho}(z)}$ , we see that  $b(\tau)$  is the solution to

$$1 - \kappa = \mathbb{E}[g(\tau Z, b)] \quad \text{with } g(x, b) := \frac{1}{1 + b\rho''(\text{prox}_{b\rho}(x))}. \quad (27)$$

As a result, everything boils down to quantifying  $\mathbb{E}[g(\tau Z, b)]$ .

Consider any sufficiently small  $\epsilon > 0$ . We first obtain an approximation of  $\mathbb{E}[g(\tau Z, b)]$ . Specifically, we claim that taking  $c_\epsilon := \frac{1}{2}\tau\epsilon^2$  leads to

$$\mathbb{E}[g(\tau Z, b)\mathbf{1}_{\{|\tau Z| > c_\epsilon\}}] \leq \mathbb{E}[g(\tau Z, b)] \leq \mathbb{E}[g(\tau Z, b)\mathbf{1}_{\{|\tau Z| > c_\epsilon\}}] + \epsilon. \quad (28)$$

The lower bound is trivial since  $0 \leq g(x, b) \leq 1$ . To see why the upper bound holds, we invoke Cauchy-Schwarz to derive

$$\mathbb{E}[g(\tau Z, b)\mathbf{1}_{\{|\tau Z| \leq c_\epsilon\}}] \leq \sqrt{\mathbb{E}[g^2(\tau Z, b)]} \sqrt{\mathbb{P}\left(|Z| \leq \frac{c_\epsilon}{\tau}\right)} \stackrel{(b)}{\leq} \sqrt{\mathbb{P}\left(|Z| \leq \frac{c_\epsilon}{\tau}\right)} \leq \sqrt{2\frac{c_\epsilon}{\tau}} = \epsilon, \quad (29)$$

where (b) arises since  $0 \leq g(x, b) \leq 1$ . This inequality (29) matches the upper bound in (28). In short, we see that  $\mathbb{E}[g(\tau Z, b)\mathbf{1}_{\{|\tau Z| > c_\epsilon\}}]$  is a reasonably tight approximation of  $\mathbb{E}[g(\tau Z, b)]$ , and it suffices to look at

$$\mathbb{E}[g(\tau Z, b)\mathbf{1}_{\{|\tau Z| > c_\epsilon\}}] = \mathbb{E}[g(\tau Z, b)\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau Z < -c_\epsilon\}}] + \mathbb{E}[g(\tau Z, b)\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau Z > c_\epsilon\}}]. \quad (30)$$

We first control the second term in the right-hand side of (30). Suppose for the moment that

$$c_\epsilon > \max\{c_{1,b}, (c_3 + \epsilon)(b + 1), c_{2,b}, c_4 + \epsilon\}.$$

According to (16), on the event  $\{\tau Z > c_\epsilon\}$  one has

$$\frac{\tau Z}{b+1} - \epsilon \leq \text{prox}_{b\rho}(\tau Z) \leq \frac{\tau Z}{b+1} + \epsilon \quad \text{and} \quad 1 - \epsilon \leq \rho''(\text{prox}_{b\rho}(\tau Z)) \leq 1 + \epsilon,$$

where the second inequality holds since  $\text{prox}_{b\rho}(\tau Z) \geq \frac{\tau Z}{b+1} - \epsilon > \frac{c_\epsilon}{b+1} - \epsilon \geq c_3$ . Plugging these inequalities into (27) gives

$$\frac{1}{1 + b(1 + \epsilon)} \leq g(\tau Z, b) \leq \frac{1}{1 + b(1 - \epsilon)}.$$

In addition, similar to (29) we get

$$\frac{1}{2} \geq \mathbb{P}(\tau Z > c_\epsilon) = \mathbb{P}(\tau Z < -c_\epsilon) = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ 1 - \mathbb{P}\left(|Z| \leq \frac{c_\epsilon}{\tau}\right) \right\} \geq \frac{1}{2} \left\{ 1 - \frac{2c_\epsilon}{\tau} \right\} = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \epsilon^2).$$

The above bounds taken collectively reveal that

$$\frac{1}{1+b(1+\epsilon)} \cdot \frac{1}{2}(1 - \epsilon^2) \leq \mathbb{E} [g(\tau Z, b) \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau Z > c_\epsilon\}}] \leq \frac{1}{1+b(1-\epsilon)} \cdot \frac{1}{2}. \quad (31)$$

We can employ similar arguments to control the first term in the right-hand side of (28) as well. Since  $c_\epsilon > \max\{c_{2,b}, c_4 + \epsilon\}$ , on the event  $\{\tau Z < -c_\epsilon\}$  we have

$$\tau Z - \epsilon \leq \text{prox}_{b\rho}(\tau Z) \leq \tau Z + \epsilon \quad \text{and} \quad -\epsilon \leq \rho''(\text{prox}_{b\rho}(\tau Z)) \leq \epsilon,$$

a direct consequence of (16). This implies that

$$\frac{1}{1+b\epsilon} \leq g(\tau Z, b) \leq \frac{1}{1-b\epsilon}$$

and, therefore,

$$\frac{1}{1+b\epsilon} \cdot \frac{1}{2}(1 - \epsilon^2) \leq \mathbb{E} [g(\tau Z, b) \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau Z < -c_\epsilon\}}] \leq \frac{1}{1-b\epsilon} \cdot \frac{1}{2}. \quad (32)$$

Combining (28), (31) and (32), we conclude that for any  $\epsilon > 0$ ,

$$\frac{1 - \epsilon^2}{2} \left\{ \frac{1}{1+b(1+\epsilon)} + \frac{1}{1+b\epsilon} \right\} \leq \mathbb{E} [g(\tau Z, b)] \leq \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{1}{1+b(1-\epsilon)} + \frac{1}{1-b\epsilon} \right\} + \epsilon,$$

as long as  $c_\epsilon = \frac{1}{2}\tau\epsilon^2 > \max\{c_{1,b}, (c_3 + \epsilon)(b + 1), c_{2,b}, c_4 + \epsilon\}$ , or equivalently,

$$\tau > \frac{2 \max\{c_{1,b}, (c_3 + \epsilon)(b + 1), c_{2,b}, c_4 + \epsilon\}}{\epsilon^2},$$

where the lower bound is on the order of  $b/\epsilon^3$ . Effectively, we have established that for any given  $b$  and any sufficiently small  $\epsilon > 0$  (so that  $b\epsilon < 1$  and  $\epsilon < 1$ ), if  $\tau$  is sufficiently large (as specified above) one has

$$\left| \mathbb{E} [g(\tau Z, b)] - \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{1+b} + 1 \right) \right| \leq \tilde{c}_4 (\epsilon + b\epsilon) \quad (33)$$

for some universal constant  $\tilde{c}_4 > 0$  independent of  $b, \epsilon, \tau$ .

We can then combine this result (33) with the constraint (27) to derive an estimate on  $b(\tau)$ . Fix any  $\eta > 0$ . Let  $b_1$  and  $b_2$  be two constants such that

$$\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{1+b_1} + 1 \right) = 1 - \kappa - \frac{\eta}{4}, \quad \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{1+b_2} + 1 \right) = 1 - \kappa + \frac{\eta}{4}.$$

Picking  $\epsilon > 0$  sufficiently small so that  $\max\{\tilde{c}_4(1+b_1)\epsilon, \tilde{c}_4(1+b_2)\epsilon\} < \eta/4$  and  $\tau \gg \max\{b_1, b_2\}/\epsilon^3$ , we can ensure that

$$\mathbb{E} [g(\tau Z, b_1)] < 1 - \kappa < \mathbb{E} [g(\tau Z, b_2)].$$

Recall that for any  $\tau > 0$ , the function  $G(b) := 1 - \mathbb{E} [g(\tau Z, b)]$  is strictly increasing in  $b$  (see [1, Lemma 5]) and, hence,

$$b_2 \leq b(\tau) \leq b_1, \quad \implies \quad \frac{1}{2(1+b_1)} \leq \frac{1}{2(1+b(\tau))} \leq \frac{1}{2(1+b_2)}.$$

Combining these together, we obtain

$$\left| \left( 1 - \frac{1}{b(\tau) + 1} \right) - 2\kappa \right| \leq \eta, \quad (34)$$

for any  $\eta > 0$  with the proviso that  $\tau$  is sufficiently large. This finishes Step (ii). In particular, this yields

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} b(\tau) = \frac{2\kappa}{1 - 2\kappa}. \quad (35)$$

**Step (iii).** Now we move on to the variance map

$$\mathcal{V}(\tau^2) = \frac{b(\tau)^2}{\kappa} \mathbb{E} \left[ \rho'(\text{prox}_{b(\tau)\rho}(\tau Z))^2 \right]. \quad (36)$$

For notational convenience, we set

$$h(x) := \rho'(\text{prox}_{b\rho}(x))^2,$$

a key mapping in the definition (36). Before proceeding, we remark that from the properties of  $\rho'$ , for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exist constants  $c_5, c_6 > 0$ , depending on  $\epsilon$ , such that

$$\sup_{z > c_5} |\rho'(z) - z| \leq \epsilon, \quad \sup_{z < -c_6} |\rho'(z)| \leq \epsilon. \quad (37)$$

As before, we decompose the function  $\mathcal{V}(\tau^2)$  as follows:

$$\left| \mathcal{V}(\tau^2) - \frac{b(\tau)^2}{\kappa} \mathbb{E} [h(\tau Z) \mathbf{1}_{\{|\tau Z| > \alpha_\epsilon\}}] \right| = \frac{b(\tau)^2}{\kappa} \mathbb{E} [h(\tau Z) \mathbf{1}_{\{|\tau Z| \leq \alpha_\epsilon\}}]$$

for some point  $\alpha_\epsilon > 0$  to be specified later. This gives

$$\mathbb{E}[h(\tau Z) \mathbf{1}_{\{|\tau Z| < \alpha_\epsilon\}}] \leq \sqrt{\mathbb{E}[h^2(\tau Z) \mathbf{1}_{\{|\tau Z| < \alpha_\epsilon\}}]} \sqrt{\mathbb{P}(|\tau Z| < \alpha_\epsilon)} \leq C(\alpha_\epsilon, b) \sqrt{2\Phi\left(\frac{\alpha_\epsilon}{\tau}\right) - 1}, \quad (38)$$

where

$$C(\alpha_\epsilon, b) = \rho'(\text{prox}_{b\rho}(\alpha_\epsilon))^2.$$

The last inequality of (38) holds since (1)  $\rho'(z) \geq 0$  is an increasing function of  $z$ ; (2)  $\text{prox}_{b\rho}(x)$  is an increasing function of  $x$  (see [2, Eqn. (56)]). For any given  $\epsilon > 0$ , one can pick  $\tau$  sufficiently large so that the above bound  $C(\alpha_\epsilon, b) \sqrt{2\Phi\left(\frac{\alpha_\epsilon}{\tau}\right) - 1}$  is below  $\epsilon$ . The particular choice of  $\tau$  will be made clear later. Under these conditions,

$$\mathbb{E}[h(\tau Z) \mathbf{1}_{\{|\tau Z| > \alpha_\epsilon\}}] \leq \mathbb{E}[h(\tau Z)] \leq \mathbb{E}[h(\tau Z) \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau Z < -\alpha_\epsilon\}}] + \mathbb{E}[h(\tau Z) \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau Z > \alpha_\epsilon\}}] + \epsilon. \quad (39)$$

We first control the second term in the right-hand side of (39). To this end, we choose

$$\alpha_\epsilon > \max \{c_{1,b}, c_{2,b}, (c_5 + \epsilon)(b + 1), c_6 + 2\epsilon\}$$

as before. Then from (16) and (37), on the event  $\{\tau Z > \alpha_\epsilon\}$  we have

$$\frac{\tau Z}{b+1} - \epsilon \leq \text{prox}_{b\rho}(\tau Z) \leq \frac{\tau Z}{b+1} + \epsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\tau Z}{b+1} - 2\epsilon \leq \rho'(\text{prox}_{b\rho}(\tau Z)) \leq \frac{\tau Z}{b+1} + 2\epsilon.$$

This yields

$$\left( \frac{\tau Z}{b+1} - 2\epsilon \right)^2 \leq h(\tau Z) \leq \left( \frac{\tau Z}{b+1} + 2\epsilon \right)^2$$

on the event  $\{\tau Z > \alpha_\epsilon\}$ , and hence

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \frac{\tau Z}{b+1} - 2\epsilon \right)^2 \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau Z > \alpha_\epsilon\}} \right] \leq \mathbb{E}[h(\tau Z) \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau Z > \alpha_\epsilon\}}] \leq \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \frac{\tau Z}{b+1} + 2\epsilon \right)^2 \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau Z > \alpha_\epsilon\}} \right]. \quad (40)$$

Similarly for the first term in the right-hand side of (39), as  $\alpha_\epsilon > \max \{c_2, c_6 + 2\epsilon\}$ , on the event  $\{\tau Z < -\alpha_\epsilon\}$ , we have

$$\tau Z - \epsilon \leq \text{prox}_{b\rho}(\tau Z) \leq \tau Z + \epsilon \quad \text{and} \quad -\epsilon \leq \rho'(\text{prox}_{b\rho}(\tau Z)) \leq \epsilon.$$



Note that  $\mathbb{P}(\tau Z > \alpha_\epsilon) = \mathbb{P}(\tau Z < -\alpha_\epsilon) = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \delta_\epsilon)$  for some  $\delta_\epsilon$  small which is a function of  $\epsilon$  and which vanishes as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ . This yields

$$0 \leq \mathbb{E}[h(\tau Z)\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau Z < -\alpha_\epsilon\}}] \leq \frac{\epsilon^2}{2}(1 - \delta_\epsilon). \quad (41)$$

Combining the relations (39), (40) and (41) we obtain that

$$\frac{b^2}{\kappa} \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \frac{\tau Z}{b+1} - 2\epsilon \right)^2 \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau Z > \alpha_\epsilon\}} \right] \leq \mathcal{V}(\tau^2) \leq \frac{b^2}{\kappa} \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \frac{\tau Z}{b+1} + 2\epsilon \right)^2 \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau Z > \alpha_\epsilon\}} \right] + \frac{\epsilon^2}{2}(1 - \delta_\epsilon) + \epsilon \right\}. \quad (42)$$

We still need to evaluate  $\mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \frac{\tau Z}{b+1} - 2\epsilon \right)^2 \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau Z > \alpha_\epsilon\}} \right]$ . To this end, we define two quantities

$$\alpha_1 := \mathbb{E} [Z\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau Z > \alpha_\epsilon\}}] \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_2 := \mathbb{E} [Z^2\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau Z > \alpha_\epsilon\}}].$$

Using the properties of the normal CDF, one can show that

$$\frac{\tau}{\sqrt{2\pi}} - \alpha_\epsilon \frac{\delta_\epsilon}{2} \leq \tau\alpha_1 \leq \frac{\tau}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\tau^2}{2} - \alpha_\epsilon^2 \frac{\delta_\epsilon}{2} \leq \tau^2\alpha_2 \leq \frac{\tau^2}{2}. \quad (43)$$

Using the above relations and rearranging, the bounds in (42) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}(\tau^2) &\geq \frac{b^2}{\kappa} \left[ \frac{\tau^2}{2(b+1)^2} - \frac{\alpha_\epsilon^2 \delta_\epsilon}{2(b+1)^2} - 4\epsilon \frac{\tau}{\sqrt{2\pi}(b+1)} + 2\epsilon^2(1 - \delta_\epsilon) \right]; \\ \mathcal{V}(\tau^2) &\leq \frac{b^2}{\kappa} \left[ \frac{\tau^2}{2(b+1)^2} + \epsilon \left( \frac{4\tau}{\sqrt{2\pi}(b+1)} + 1 \right) + \frac{5}{2}\epsilon^2(1 - \delta_\epsilon) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, observing that  $b \geq 0$ , we arrive at

$$\left| \mathcal{V}(\tau^2) - \frac{b^2}{2\kappa} \frac{\tau^2}{(b+1)^2} \right| \leq \frac{b^2}{\kappa} \left\{ \epsilon \left( \frac{8\tau}{\sqrt{2\pi}} + 1 \right) + \frac{\delta_\epsilon \alpha_\epsilon^2}{2} + \frac{\epsilon^2}{2}(1 - \delta_\epsilon) \right\},$$

which is equivalent to

$$\left| \frac{\mathcal{V}(\tau^2)}{\tau^2} - \frac{1}{2\kappa} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{b+1} \right)^2 \right| \leq \frac{b^2}{\kappa} \left\{ \epsilon \left( \frac{8}{\sqrt{2\pi}\tau} + \frac{1}{\tau^2} \right) + \frac{\delta_\epsilon \alpha_\epsilon^2}{2\tau^2} + \frac{\epsilon^2}{2\tau^2}(1 - \delta_\epsilon) \right\}. \quad (44)$$

Note that in the bound above  $\alpha_\epsilon$  also depends on  $b$ . Henceforth we denote  $\alpha_\epsilon$  as  $\alpha_\epsilon(b)$ . Next, we invoke the result from Step (ii) to ensure that  $b(\tau)$  is bounded for all sufficiently large values of  $\tau$ .

Fix  $\eta' > 0$  such that  $0 < \eta' < 1 - 2\kappa$ . Let  $\tau_0$  be the threshold above which for all values of  $\tau$  the relation (34) holds with  $\eta = \eta'/2$ . Then  $\forall \tau \geq \tau_0$ , one has

$$b(\tau) \leq \frac{2\kappa + \eta'}{1 - 2\kappa - \eta'} =: a(\eta').$$

For all  $\tau \geq \tau_0$ , we have

$$\left| \frac{\mathcal{V}(\tau^2)}{\tau^2} - \frac{1}{2\kappa} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{b+1} \right)^2 \right| \leq \frac{a(\eta')^2}{\kappa} \left\{ \epsilon \left( \frac{8}{\sqrt{2\pi}\tau} + \frac{1}{\tau^2} \right) + \frac{\delta_\epsilon (\alpha_\epsilon(a(\eta)))^2}{2\tau^2} + \frac{\epsilon^2}{2\tau^2}(1 - \delta_\epsilon) \right\},$$

where  $\alpha_\epsilon(a(\eta))$  is any constant above  $\max\{c_{1,a(\eta)}, c_{2,a(\eta)}, (c_5 + \epsilon)(a(\eta) + 1), c_6 + 2\epsilon\}$ . We choose  $\tau > \tau_0$  so that  $C(\alpha_\epsilon(a(\eta)), a(\eta))\sqrt{2\Phi(\alpha_\epsilon) - 1}$  is below  $\epsilon$ , and the above bound in the RHS is below  $\eta = \eta'/2$ . This gives

$$\left| \frac{\mathcal{V}(\tau^2)}{\tau^2} - 2\kappa \right| \leq \left| \frac{\mathcal{V}(\tau^2)}{\tau^2} - \frac{1}{2\kappa} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{b+1} \right)^2 \right| + \left| 2\kappa - \frac{1}{2\kappa} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{b+1} \right)^2 \right| \leq \eta'.$$

Hence, for any such  $\tau$

$$\frac{\mathcal{V}(\tau^2)}{\tau^2} \leq 2\kappa + \eta' < 1,$$

from the choice of  $\eta'$ . In particular, we have established that

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathcal{V}(\tau^2)}{\tau^2} = 2\kappa.$$

**Remark 1.** In fact, the above analysis works for a broader class of link functions beyond the probit case. Specifically, more general sufficient conditions for the above result to hold are the following: in addition to conditions mentioned in [1, Section 2.3.3].

- $\rho'(x) \rightarrow 0$  when  $x \rightarrow -\infty$ , and  $\rho'(x)/x \rightarrow 1$ , when  $x \rightarrow \infty$ ; further,  $|\rho'(x) - x| \leq f(x)$  for all  $x$  positive, where  $f(x)$  is some function obeying  $f(x) \rightarrow 0$  when  $x \rightarrow \infty$ .
- $\rho''$  is bounded, converges to 1 when  $x \rightarrow \infty$  and converges to 0 when  $x \rightarrow -\infty$ .  $-\infty$  are swapped.
- In addition, for any given  $z$ ,  $b\rho''(\text{prox}_{b\rho}(z)) \rightarrow \infty$  when  $b \rightarrow \infty$ .

## References

- [1] Pragya Sur, Yuxin Chen, and Emmanuel Candès. The likelihood ratio test in high-dimensional logistic regression is asymptotically a *rescaled* chi-square. 2017.
- [2] David Donoho and Andrea Montanari. High dimensional robust M-estimation: Asymptotic variance via approximate message passing. *Probability Theory and Related Fields*, pages 1–35, 2013.